

To: Mahmud, Shahid[Mahmud.Shahid@epa.gov]
From: Williams, Laura
Sent: Tue 10/27/2015 4:15:44 PM
Subject: RE: AP Followup Questions
DRAFT talking points Mathy + AP Matt Brown 10 26 15.docx

My version. Good talking to you. It always helps to vent with someone who understands. - lw

From: Mahmud, Shahid
Sent: Tuesday, October 27, 2015 9:41 AM
To: Williams, Laura
Subject: FW: AP Followup Questions
Importance: High

Hi Laura,

Hope all is well. I am forwarding this message and need Region 8's response to question #3. We need this response ASAP. Thanks!

From: Mahmud, Shahid
Sent: Tuesday, October 27, 2015 11:35 AM
To: Dreyfus, Melissa G. <Dreyfus.Melissa@epa.gov>; Stalcup, Dana <Stalcup.Dana@epa.gov>; Fitz-James, Schatzi <Fitz-James.Schatzi@epa.gov>
Cc: Gartner, Lois <Gartner.Lois@epa.gov>; Wells, Suzanne <Wells.Suzanne@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: AP Followup Questions

I have attached my revisions. We need to call Region 8 to get the answer to #3. Thanks!

From: Dreyfus, Melissa G.
Sent: Tuesday, October 27, 2015 11:26 AM
To: Stalcup, Dana <Stalcup.Dana@epa.gov>; Fitz-James, Schatzi <Fitz-James.Schatzi@epa.gov>
Cc: Gartner, Lois <Gartner.Lois@epa.gov>; Wells, Suzanne <Wells.Suzanne@epa.gov>; Mahmud, Shahid <Mahmud.Shahid@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: AP Followup Questions

All,

Please see attached first draft of 'talking points' based on the document that Dana suggested. I do not have an answer drafted for #3.

Melissa Greer Dreyfus

Environmental Health Scientist

Community Involvement and Program Initiatives Branch

Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Phone: 703-603-8792

email: dreyfus.melissa@epa.gov

From: Stalcup, Dana

Sent: Tuesday, October 27, 2015 10:52 AM

To: Fitz-James, Schatzi <Fitz-James.Schatzi@epa.gov>

Cc: Gartner, Lois <Gartner.Lois@epa.gov>; Deitz, Randy <Deitz.Randy@epa.gov>; Dreyfus, Melissa G. <Dreyfus.Melissa@epa.gov>; Wells, Suzanne <Wells.Suzanne@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: AP Followup Questions

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 27, 2015, at 10:38 AM, Fitz-James, Schatzi <Fitz-James.Schatzi@epa.gov> wrote:

Just talked to Suzanne and shahid who will start in them after a very abbreviated staff meeting

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 27, 2015, at 10:36 AM, Stalcup, Dana <Stalcup.Dana@epa.gov> wrote:

You folks see this?

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 27, 2015, at 10:27 AM, Cohen, Nancy <Cohen.Nancy@epa.gov> wrote:

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

1. Further details on the decision not to use a drill rig to check water conditions inside Gold King. Specifically, please provide any cost estimate(s) of using a drill rig at the site, an explanation of how timing was a factor, details of what safety and technical issues were at play.
2. Regarding the Bureau of Reclamation's Technical Evaluation of the Gold King spill, pages 44 and 45 include mention of communications between the EPA OSC and BOR's Mike Gobla. The OSC apparently told Mr. Gobla he was "unsure about the plans for the Gold King Mine" and requested an outside review of the EPA?DRMS plans. Prior to a planned meeting with Mr. Gobla on Aug. 14, according to the report, work at the mine began. The report also mentions the EPA OSC going on vacation. What was the OSC unsure about? Why did the work begin prior to the planned meeting with BOR? How the OSC's upcoming vacation factor in to all this?
3. Attachment to this message is an email chain that discusses purchase of a piezometer, presumably for testing water pressure. Was this intended for use at Gold King mine? Was it obtained? Was it used? If so, what were the results? Would it be used in conjunction with drilling a bore hole or is that not necessary?

Thanks, nancy

Nancy Cohen

U.S. EPA OSWER

202.566.0171

From: Cohen, Nancy
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 2:31 PM
To: Stalcup, Dana <Stalcup.Dana@epa.gov>
Cc: Lois Gartner <Gartner.Lois@epa.gov>; Fitz-James, Schatzi <Fitz-James.Schatzi@epa.gov>; Randy Deitz <Deitz.Randy@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: AP Followup Questions

Dana: please see OPAs email below and note we need to provide a response to the following question from Matt Brown of AP –

3. Attachment to this message is an email chain that discusses purchase of a piezometer, presumably for testing water pressure. Was this intended for use at Gold King mine? Was it obtained? Was it used? If so, what were the results? Would it be used in conjunction with drilling a bore hole or is that not necessary?

Thanks, nancy

Nancy Cohen

U.S. EPA OSWER

202.566.0171

From: Grantham, Nancy
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 2:22 PM
To: Cohen, Nancy <Cohen.Nancy@epa.gov>; Hestmark, Martin <Hestmark.Martin@epa.gov>; Smith, Paula <Smith.Paula@epa.gov>; StClair, Christie <StClair.Christie@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: AP Followup Questions

Hi all –

The first 2 questions are likely answered by the q and a being revised now by Region 8 and OSWER –

We need to work on a response to question 3.

Thanks ng

From: Brown, Matthew [<mailto:MBrown@ap.org>]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2015 1:24 PM
To: StClair, Christie <StClair.Christie@epa.gov>
Cc: Grantham, Nancy <Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov>; Elliott, Dan <delliott@ap.org>
Subject:

Hi Christie-

As discussed, looking for more information on following items:

1. Further details on the decision not to use a drill rig to check water conditions inside Gold King. Specifically, please provide any cost estimate(s) of using a drill rig at the site, an explanation of how timing was a factor, details of what safety and technical issues were at play.
2. Regarding the Bureau of Reclamation's Technical Evaluation of the Gold King spill, pages 44 and 45 include mention of communications between the EPA OSC and BOR's Mike Gobla. The OSC apparently told Mr. Gobla he was "unsure about the plans for the Gold King Mine" and requested an outside review of the EPA/DRMS plans. Prior to a planned meeting with Mr. Gobla on Aug. 14, according to the report, work at the mine began. The report also mentions the EPA OSC going on vacation. What was the OSC unsure about? Why did the work begin prior to the planned meeting with BOR? How the OSC's upcoming vacation factor in to all this?
3. Attachment to this message is an email chain that discusses purchase of a piezometer, presumably for testing water pressure. Was this intended for use at Gold King mine? Was it obtained? Was it used? If so, what were the results? Would it be used in conjunction with drilling a bore hole or is that not necessary?

Thanks as always for the help.

Matt Brown

Matthew Brown

Associated Press Correspondent

PO Box 36300

Billings, MT 59107

mbrown@ap.org

phone-406 896 1528

mobile-406 696 4213

fax-406 896 8117

From: StClair, Christie [<mailto:StClair.Christie@epa.gov>]

Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 6:59 PM

To: Brown, Matthew; Elliott, Dan

Cc: Grantham, Nancy

Subject: Making sure you have this

Matt and Dan, just making sure you had the additional statement from Nancy on the drill rig. As you know, our internal report has greater detail on how the site conditions affected this decision.

Please attribute to Nancy Grantham:

Regarding the decision by EPA not to use a drill rig to bore into the Gold King Mine (GKM) from above and directly determine the level of the mine pool, EPA's GKM Internal Review Team found that site conditions made it difficult to undertake such drilling to determine pressure within the mine. The Review Team identified technical challenges, safety, timing, and cost as factors in considering this technique—and also identified the steepness and instability of slopes at the site as a key safety consideration.

Thanks,

Christie

Off the record - We would also call to your attention to pg 69, 3rd paragraph, of the DOI report which concludes that "eventually even if no action had been taken, it may have failed on its own.

Christie St. Clair

Office of Public Affairs

Environmental Protection Agency

o: 202-564-2880

m: 202-768-5780

The information contained in this communication is intended for the use of the designated recipients named above. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this communication in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify The Associated Press immediately by telephone at +1-212-621-1898 and delete this email. Thank you.

[IP_US_DISC]

mksk dccc60c6d2c3a6438f0cf467d9a4938